Golder Associates Inc.

4104-148th Avenue, NE Redmond, WA 98052 Telephone (206) 883-0777 Fax (206) 882-5498





April 14, 1995

CH2M Hill P.O. Box 1510 Richland, Washington 99352 Our ref: 943-1610.089.0400 94-1610/O/322

ATTENTION: Ms. Jeanette Duncan

RE: TRANSMITTAL OF DATA VALIDATION FINAL SUMMARY REPORT

CONTRACT NO. MSH-SWV-315905

Dear Ms. Duncan:

This letter is to transmit the data validation summary for the following project:

Project Name

100 DR 1 Soil Washing Treatability Test Sampling

Please call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

GOLDER ASSOCIATES INC.

Christina I. Jensen Task Manager

Enclosures

p:\enviros\whe\dv\summary.ltr

DATA VALIDATION SUMMARY REPORT - 100-DR-1 SOIL WASHING TREATABILITY TEST SAMPLING

Prepared for

Bechtel Hanford Inc. Richland, Washington

Prepared by

Golder Associates Inc. Redmond, Washington

April 14, 1995

943-1610.089

0412aji.rpt

CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1-1
	1.1 CHEMICAL ANALYSES	1-1
	1.2 RADIOCHEMICAL ANALYSES	1-1
	1.3 WESTINGHOUSE HANFORD GUIDANCE USED	1-2
	1.4 MAJOR DEFICIENCIES	1-2
	1.5 GENERAL QUALITY TRENDS	1-2
	1.6 SAMPLES AND ANALYSES VALIDATED	1-2
		~ -
2.0	INORGANIC ANALYSIS DATA VALIDATION SUMMARY	2-1
	2.1 SUMMARY	2-1
	2.1.1 Sample Delivery Groups	2-1
	2.1.2 All Samples Validated	2-1
		2-1
	2.1.3 Westinghouse Hanford Validation Guidance Used	
	2.1.4 Samples Analyzed According to CLP Protocols	2-1
	2.1.5 Deficiencies Noted	2-2
	2.2 ANALYTICAL METHOD	2-2
	2.2.1 Calibration	2-2
	2.2.2 Blanks	2-2
	2.3 HOLDING TIMES	2-2
	2.4 ANALYTICAL ACCURACY	2-2
	2.4.1 Spike Samples	2-2
	2.4.2 Laboratory Control Samples	2-2
	2.5 ANALYTICAL PRECISION	2-3
	2.5.1 Laboratory Duplicates	2-3
	2.5.2 ICP Serial dilution	2-3
	2.5.3 Field Duplicates	2-3
	2.5.3 Field Splits	2-3
	2.6 GRAPHITE FURNACE PERFORMANCE	2-3
	2.7 SAMPLE RESULT QUANTITATION, VERIFICATION, AND REPORTED	
	DETECTION LIMITS	2-3
	2.8 SYSTEM PERFORMANCE AND OVERALL ASSESSMENT	2-3
3.0	GENERAL CHEMISTRY ANALYSIS DATA VALIDATION SUMMARY	3-1
	3.1 SUMMARY	3-1
	3.1.1 Sample Delivery Groups	3-1
	3.1.2 Samples Validated	3-1
	3.1.3 Westinghouse Hanford Validation Guidance Used	3-1
	3.1.4 Samples Analyzed	3-1
	3.1.5 Deficiencies Noted	3-2
		3-2
	3.2 ANALYTICAL METHOD	3-2
	3.2.1 Initial and Continuing Calibration	3-2 3-2
	3.2.2 Blanks	
	3.3 HOLDING TIMES	3-2
	3.4 ANALYTICAL ACCURACY	3-2
	3.4.1 Matrix Spike and Matrix Spike Duplicates	3-2
	3.4.2 Laboratory Control Samples	3-2

CONTENTS (Cont.)

	3.5 ANALYTICAL PRECISION	3-3
	3.5.1 Laboratory Duplicates	3-3
	3.6 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION	3-3
	3.7 SAMPLE RESULT QUANTITATION, VERIFICATION, AND REPORTED	
		I-3
		-3
4.0		-1
		-1
		-1
	4.1.2 Samples Validated 4	-1
		-1
	4.1.4 Samples Analyzed 4	-1
	4.1.5 Deficiencies Noted 4	-2
	4.2 ANALYTICAL METHOD	-2
		-2
		-2
		-3
		-3
		-3
	4.3.2 Matrix Spikes	-3
	4.3.3 Chemical Yield	-3
		-3
		-3
		-4
		-4
	4.5 SAMPLE RESULTS QUANTITATION, VERIFICATION AND	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-4
		-4
5.0	REFERENCES 5	-1
APPI	NDIXES:	
	A Matala Walidated Data Communication	
	A Metals Validated Data Summary Tables Metals Field Durlingto and Field Spile Summary	
	B Metals Field Duplicate and Field Split Summary C General Chemical Validation Data Summary Tables	
	··· ···· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ·	
	E Radiochemistry Validated Field Duplicate and Field Split Summary	
ГАВІ	ES:	
l-1.	100 DD-1 Soil Weeking Treetchility Date Volldeling Tiet of Seconds Volldeling 1	2
l-1. l-2.		-3 -4
ı-z. I-3.		-4 -5
L-J.	Grossary of Natiochemistry Data Reporting Qualifiers 1	-5

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report presents a summary of data validation results on water samples collected for the 100-DR-1 Soil Washing Treatability Test Sampling task.

The analyses performed for this project consisted of:

- Inorganic Analytes;
- General Chemistry Parameters;
- Radiochemistry.

The laboratories used for the analyses were the Quanterra Environmental Services and the Lockheed Analytical Services laboratory.

As required by the contract and the WHC statement of work (WHC 1994), data validation was conducted using Westinghouse data validation procedures (WHC 1993a and 1993b), in which the sample results were validated to level D as specified in the data validation procedures. At the completion of validation and verification of each data package, a data validation summary was prepared and transmitted with the original documentation to Hanford Analytical Services (HAS) for inclusion in the project QA record. Table 1-1 provides information concerning the data packages which were validated and verified. Tables 1-2, 1-3, 1-4 and 1-5 provide a summary and explanation of all qualifiers applied to the validated inorganic, general chemistry, and radiochemistry results, respectively.

Four sections, including this introduction, comprise this report. Sections 2.0, 3.0, and 4.0 provide summaries of the validation of the inorganics, general chemistry, and radiochemistry parameters, respectively. Section 5.0 provides a list of references used to prepare this report and Appendixes A through E to this report include validated data summary tables.

1.1 CHEMICAL ANALYSES

Chemical analysis data consists of 1 water sample and 12 soil samples analyzed for chromium, and 5 water samples analyzed for total suspended solids. The chemical data and associated QC have been reviewed and validated to verify that reported sample results are acceptable for decision making purposes.

1.2 RADIOCHEMICAL ANALYSES

The radiochemical data consists of 5 water samples, 12 soil samples, and 3 samples of other media analyzed for radiochemical parameters. The radiochemical data and associated QC has been reviewed and validated to verify that reported sample results are acceptable for decision making purposes.

1.3 WESTINGHOUSE HANFORD GUIDANCE USED

Data validation was conducted using Westinghouse data validation procedures (WHC 1993a and 1993b).

1.4 MAJOR DEFICIENCIES

No major deficiencies were identified during the validation of the inorganic, general chemistry, and radiochemistry parameters.

1.5 GENERAL QUALITY TRENDS

The objectives of this project were to validate the samples against level D validation criteria specified in the validation procedures (WHC 1993a and 1993b). In addition, this report provides a summary of the data in terms of defined laboratory performance criteria and project-specific data quality objectives to assure the data is acceptable for use in the 100-DR-1 Soil Washing Treatability Test Sampling task. For purposes of this report, the validated results were reviewed against the following data quality indicators:

- Precision of laboratory and field duplicate measurements;
- Accuracy in terms of laboratory spikes, control samples, laboratory and field blanks and proper reporting;
- Representativeness in terms of field duplicate analyses:
- Completeness in terms of compliance with holding times, the percentage of valid
 measurements versus the total number of measurements performed and correctness of
 results; and
- Comparability in terms of field split samples and reporting units.

1.6 SAMPLES AND ANALYSES VALIDATED

Table 1-1 provides a cross-reference list of all samples validated including data package tracking numbers, sample numbers, sample dates, site and sample locations, sample type, and analyses performed.

Table 1-1. 100-DR-1 Soil Washing Treatability Tests, List of Samples Validated.

Data Package ID	HEIS No.	Sample Date	Location	Matrix	Sample Type	Validation Level	М	w	R
W0368-QES	B0DDB6	10-Jan-95	8	w		D		х	х
W0368-QES	B0DDD8	10-Jan-95	16	w		D		х	x
W0368-QES	B0DDF8	10-Jan-95	12	w		D		x*	X*
W0368-QES	B0DDG8	10-Jan-95	15	w		D		X*	X*_
W0368-QES	B0DDH9	10-Jan-95	9	w		D		х	x
W0368-QES	B0DDL3	11-Jan-95	11	w	TRIP BLANK	Ð	X		х
W0368-QES	B0DDM0	09-Jan-95	1	S		D	X*		X*
W0368-QES	B0DDN3	10-Jan-95	3	S		D	х		х
W0368-QES	B0DDP3	10-Jan-95	4	s		D	X*		X*
W0368-QES	B0DDQ3	10-Jan-95	10	S		D	х		x
W0368-QES	B0DDR1	11-Jan-95	13	s		ם	X*	-	X*
W0368-QES	B0DDS4	11-Jan-95	14	S		D	X*		X*
W0368-QES	B0DDX0	09-Jan-95	1	S	FIELD DUPLICATE	a	х		х
W0368-QES	B0DDX5	10-Jan-95	4	S	FIELD DUPLICATE	D	х	·	х
W0368-QES	B0DDX7	10-Јап-95	10	s	FIELD DUPLICATE	D	х		х
W0368-QES	B0DDY0	11-Jan-95	13	s	FIELD DUPLICATE	D	х		х
LK3629-LAS	B0DDY2	11-Jan-95	13	S	SPLIT	D	x		х
W0368-QES	B0DDY3	11-Jan-95	14	S	FIELD DUPLICATE	D	х		х
W0368-QES	BODF10	11-Jan-95	5	0		D			х
W0368-QES	B0DF15	09-Jan-95	6	0		D			х
W0368-QES	B0DF27	10-Jan-95	7	0		D			X*

^{*}Indicates sample results which were 100% recalculated.

M - metals

W - general chemistry
R - radiochemistry

S - soil matrices

W - water matrices

O - other matrices

Table 1-2. Glossary of Inorganic Data Reporting Qualifiers.

- B Indicates the constituent was analyzed for and detected. The concentration reported is less than the contract required detection limit (CRDL) but greater than the instrument detection limit (IDL). The associated data should be considered usable for decision making purposes.
- U Indicates the constituent was analyzed for and not detected. The concentration reported is the sample detection limit corrected for aliquot size, dilution and percent solids (in the case of solid matrices) by the laboratory. The associated data should be considered usable for decision making purposes.
- UJ Indicates the constituent was analyzed for and not detected. Due to a minor quality control deficiency identified during data validation the concentration may not accurately reflect the sample detection limit. The associated data have been qualified as estimated but should be considered usable for decision making purposes.
- BJ Indicates the constituent was analyzed for and detected at a concentration less than the contract required detection limit (CRDL) but greater than the instrument detection limit (IDL). Due to a minor quality control deficiency identified during data validation the associated data have been qualified as estimated, but should be considered usable for decision making purposes.
- J Indicates the constituent was analyzed for and detected. Due to a minor quality control deficiency identified during data validation the associated data have been qualified as estimated, but should be considered usable for decision making purposes.
- UR Indicates the constituent was analyzed for and not detected. Due to a major quality control deficiency identified during data validation, the associated data have been qualified as unusable for decision making purposes.
- R Indicates the constituent was analyzed for and detected. Due to a major quality control deficiency identified during data validation, the associated data have been qualified as unusable for decision making purposes.

Table 1-3. Glossary of Radiochemistry Data Reporting Qualifiers.

- U Indicates the constituent was analyzed for, but was not detected at a concentration above the minimum detectable activity (MDA). The concentration reported is the sample result corrected for sample aliquot size, dilution factors and percent solids (in the case of solid matrices) by the laboratory. The associated data should be considered usable for decision making purposes.
- UJ Indicates the constituent was analyzed for and was not detected at a concentration above the MDA. Due to a quality control deficiency identified during data validation, the result reported may not accurately reflect the sample concentration. The associated data should be considered usable for decision making purposes.
- J Indicates the constituent was analyzed for and detected. The concentration reported is qualified as estimated due to a quality control deficiency identified during data validation. The associated data should be considered usable for decision making purposes.
- UR Indicates the constituent was analyzed for and not detected. The concentration reported is qualified as unusable due to a quality control deficiency identified during data validation.
 The associated data should be considered unusable for decision making purposes.
- R Indicates the constituent was analyzed for and detected. The concentration reported is qualified as unusable due to a quality control deficiency identified during data validation.
 The associated data should be considered unusable for decision making purposes.

2.0 INORGANIC ANALYSIS DATA VALIDATION SUMMARY

2.1 SUMMARY

This section presents a summary of the inorganic data validation results and review against the WHC Statement of Work (WHC 1994). Table 1-1 shows the data package identification, sample identification, sample collection date, location and sample type. Appendix A provides a summary of all validated data results.

2.1.1 Sample Delivery Groups

Sample results from two inorganic data packages are included in this report:

Data Package ID	No. of Samples
W0368-QES	12
LK3629-LAS	1

2.1.2 All Samples Validated

Results for the data packages listed above were validated at the frequency specified in Section 1.0 with data qualifiers assigned as specified in the validation procedures.

2.1.3 Westinghouse Hanford Validation Guidance Used

Data validation was performed in accordance with <u>Data Validation Procedures for Chemical Analyses</u> (WHC 1993a).

2.1.4 Samples Analyzed According to CLP Protocols

This section provides a summary of the data in terms of defined laboratory performance criteria and project-specific data quality objectives to assure the data is acceptable for use in the 100-DR-1 Soil Washing Treatability Test Sampling task.

- Precision. All laboratory duplicate sample RPD results were acceptable.
- Accuracy. All spike sample recoveries were within specified control limits.
- Representativeness. Field duplicate RPD values were acceptable for all sample sets.
- Completeness. Overall, 13 samples were validated for inorganics with 13 results reported, all of which were deemed valid. This results in a completeness of 100% which meets normal work plan QAPjP objectives of 90%.

• Comparability. Field split RPD values were acceptable for all sample sets. All results were reported in common units (milligrams per kilogram, mg/Kg) facilitating comparison of the data.

2.1.5 Deficiencies Noted

There were no major or minor deficiencies identified during validation.

2.2 ANALYTICAL METHOD

The following paragraphs summarize the analytical requirements for the inorganics analyses.

2.2.1 Calibration

Compliance with equipment systems requirements demonstrates the analytical instrument was capable of producing acceptable quantitative results prior to the analysis of all samples. All initial and continuing calibration requirements were met.

2.2.2 Blanks

- 2.2.2.1 Laboratory Blanks. Laboratory method blanks were analyzed at the proper frequency and results were acceptable.
- 2.2.2.2 Field Blanks. Sample BODDL3 was identified as a trip blank with no target analytes detected.

2.3 HOLDING TIMES

The holding time of six months for inorganics analysis was met for all samples.

2.4 ANALYTICAL ACCURACY

2.4.1 Spike Samples

The spike sample percent recoveries were all within specified control limits.

2.4.2 Laboratory Control Samples

All laboratory control sample percent recoveries were within the control limits for all data packages.

2.5 ANALYTICAL PRECISION

2.5.1 Laboratory Duplicates

The laboratory duplicate relative percent differences (RPDs) were within the specified control limits for all data packages.

2.5.2 ICP Serial dilution

The ICP serial dilution percent difference (%D) were acceptable for data package LK3629-LAS. An ICP serial dilution was not reported for data package W0368-QES. No qualification of the data was required.

2.5.3 Field Duplicates

A total of five samples were identified as field duplicates and were analyzed for chromium. Appendix B presents a summary of the primary and duplicate sample results and the calculated RPD values. All RPD values were compared to the specified control limits and were acceptable.

2.5.3 Field Splits

A total of one sample was identified as a field split and was analyzed for chromium. Appendix B presents a summary of the primary and field split sample results and the calculated RPD values. All RPD values were compared to the control limits and were acceptable.

2.6 GRAPHITE FURNACE PERFORMANCE

No graphite furnace analyses were performed.

2.7 SAMPLE RESULT QUANTITATION, VERIFICATION, AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

All sample results were verified and recalculated against the raw data and were acceptable. Sample detection limits were calculated properly and were consistent with method detection limit requirements.

2.8 SYSTEM PERFORMANCE AND OVERALL ASSESSMENT

System performance was assessed by a review of the raw data with no indications of poor performance noted.

3.0 GENERAL CHEMISTRY ANALYSIS DATA VALIDATION SUMMARY

3.1 SUMMARY

This section presents a summary of the general chemistry data validation results and review against the WHC Statement of Work (WHC 1994). Table 1-1 shows the data package identification, sample identification, sample collection date, location and sample type. Appendix C provides a summary of all validated data results.

3.1.1 Sample Delivery Groups

Sample results from one general chemistry data package are included in this report:

Data Package ID	No. of Samples
WO368-QES	5

3.1.2 Samples Validated

Results for the data package listed above were validated at the frequency specified in Section 1.0 with data qualifiers assigned as specified in the validation procedures.

3.1.3 Westinghouse Hanford Validation Guidance Used

Data validation was performed in accordance with <u>Data Validation Procedures for Chemical Analyses</u> (WHC 1993a).

3.1.4 Samples Analyzed

This section provides a summary of the data in terms of defined laboratory performance criteria and project-specific data quality objectives to assure the data is acceptable for use in the 100-DR-1 Soil Washing Treatability Tests Sampling task.

- Precision. The laboratory duplicate sample RPD result was acceptable.
- Accuracy. The analytical method used does not require a matrix spike.

The laboratory control sample result was within limits for the data package.

• Representativeness. No field duplicate samples were taken, therefore representativeness could not be assessed.

- Completeness. Overall, five water samples were validated for general chemistry with five results reported, all of which were deemed valid. This results in a completeness of 100 percent which meets normal work plan QAPjP objectives of 90 percent.
- Comparability. Samples were analyzed by similar methods and all results were reported in common units, facilitating comparison of the data.

3.1.5 Deficiencies Noted

There were no major or minor deficiencies identified requiring qualification of the data as either unusable or estimated.

3.2 ANALYTICAL METHOD

Performance of specific instrument quality assurance and quality control procedures, including deficiencies noted during the quality assurance review, are discussed below.

3.2.1 Initial and Continuing Calibration

Initial and continuing calibration requirements were met for all analyses in the data package.

3.2.2 Blanks

Laboratory method blanks were analyzed at the proper frequency and results were reported and verified as undetected for all general chemistry parameters.

3.3 HOLDING TIMES

The holding times for general chemistry parameters were met for all samples.

3.4 ANALYTICAL ACCURACY

3.4.1 Matrix Spike and Matrix Spike Duplicates

The analytical method used does not require a matrix spike.

3.4.2 Laboratory Control Samples

The laboratory control sample percent recovery was acceptable for the data package.

3.5 ANALYTICAL PRECISION

3.5.1 Laboratory Duplicates

The laboratory duplicate relative percent difference was within the specified control limits for the data package.

3.6 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The compound identification and confirmation were acceptable for all validated samples.

3.7 SAMPLE RESULT QUANTITATION, VERIFICATION, AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

All sample results were verified and recalculated against the raw data and were acceptable. Sample quantitation limits for all samples were calculated correctly and properly reported.

3.8 SYSTEM PERFORMANCE AND OVERALL ASSESSMENT

Overall assessment of the analytical methods and sample and QC results were satisfactory.

4.0 RADIOCHEMISTRY DATA VALIDATION SUMMARY

4.1 SUMMARY

This section presents a summary of the radiochemistry data validation results and review against the WHC Statement of Work (WHC 1994). Table 1-1 shows the data package identification, sample identification, sample collection date, location and sample type. Appendix D provides a summary of all validated data results and Appendix E provides a summary of the field OC results.

4.1.1 Sample Delivery Groups

Sample results from two radiochemistry data packages are included in this report:

Data Package ID	No. of Samples				
LK3629-LAS	1				
W0368-QES	19				

4.1.2 Samples Validated

Results for the data packages listed above were validated at the frequency specified in Section 1.0 with data qualifiers assigned as specified in the validation procedures.

4.1.3 Westinghouse Hanford Validation Guidance Used

Data validation was performed in accordance with <u>Data Validation Procedures for</u> Radiochemical Analyses (WHC 1993b).

4.1.4 Samples Analyzed

This section provides a summary of the data in terms of defined laboratory performance criteria and project-specific data quality objectives to assure the data is acceptable for use in the 100-DR-1 Soil Washing Treatability Tests Sampling task.

• Precision. Laboratory duplicate RPD results were acceptable for all data packages with the exception of strontium-90 (see Section 4.4.1).

Field duplicate RPD results were acceptable for all sample sets collected.

• Accuracy. Laboratory control sample results were acceptable for all data packages with the exception of gross alpha (see Section 4.3.1).

The analytical methods used did not require matrix spikes.

Tracer yields were acceptable for all samples analyzed with the exception of alpha spectrometry (see Section 4.3.3).

• Representativeness. Field duplicate RPDs were acceptable for all data packages.

Field split sample RPDs were acceptable with the exception of gross beta and total strontium (see Section 4.4.3).

- Completeness. A total of 20 samples were validated for radiochemistry parameters with 247 results reported, all of which were deemed valid. This results in a completeness of 100 percent which meets normal work plan objectives of 90 percent.
- Comparability. Samples were analyzed by similar methods and all results were reported in common units, facilitating comparison of results.

4.1.5 Deficiencies Noted

There were no major deficiencies identified during validation which required qualification of the data as unusable. Minor deficiencies were identified requiring qualification of the data which are explained in greater detail below.

4.2 ANALYTICAL METHOD

The following paragraphs summarize the analytical requirements for the radiochemistry analyses.

4.2.1 Instrument Calibration

Initial and continuing calibration requirements were met for all analyses in all data packages.

4.2.2 Blanks

- **4.2.2.1** Method Blanks. Laboratory method blanks were analyzed at the proper frequency and results were reported and verified as undetected for all analyses.
- 4.2.2.2 Equipment Blanks. There were no equipment blank samples included in any of the data packages.
- 4.2.2.3 Trip Blanks. Sample B0DDL3 was identified as a trip blank with all results reported as nondetected.

4.2.3 Holding Times

Holding time requirements were met for all samples validated.

4.3 ANALYTICAL ACCURACY

4.3.1 Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control (blank spike) samples were analyzed at the required frequency and all results were within control limits with the exception of the following:

Data Package W0368-QES. Gross alpha for samples B0DDM0, B0DDN3, B0DDP3, B0DDQ3, B0DDR1, B0DDS4, B0DDX0, B0DDX5, B0DDX7, B0DDY0 and B0DDY3.

In accordance with validation procedures, sample results were qualified as estimated (J/UJ) for the indicated analyses.

4.3.2 Matrix Spikes

The analytical methods used did not require matrix spikes.

4.3.3 Chemical Yield

Chemical yields for carriers and tracers were acceptable for all analyses with the exception of the following:

Data Package W0368-QES. Alpha Spectrometry for samples B0DDP3 and B0DDQ3.

In accordance with the validation requirements, sample results were qualified as estimated (UJ) for the indicated analysis.

4.4 ANALYTICAL PRECISION

4.4.1 Laboratory Duplicates

Laboratory duplicate relative percent difference (RPD) values were acceptable with the exception of the following:

Data Package LK3629-LAS. Strontium-90 for sample B0DDY2.

In accordance with the validation requirements, sample results were qualified as estimated (I) for the indicated analysis.

4.4.2 Field Duplicates

Five sets of field duplicates were collected and Appendix F presents a summary of the primary and duplicate sample results and the calculated RPD values. All RPD values were acceptable.

4.4.3 Field Splits

One set of field splits was collected and Appendix E presents a summary of the primary and duplicate sample results and the calculated RPD values. All RPD values were acceptable with the following exception:

Sample set B0DDR1/B0DDY2: gross beta and total strontium.

In accordance with the validation requirements, qualification was not required.

4.5 SAMPLE RESULTS QUANTITATION, VERIFICATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

All sample results were verified and confirmed against the raw data and correctly reported. Validated results were calculated using the proper detectors, efficiencies and background counts.

Minimum detectable activities (MDAs) met method detection limit requirements with the exception of the following:

Data Package LK3629-LAS. Cobalt-60, cesium-137, europium-152, -154, -155 and radium-226 for sample B0DDY2.

Data Package W0368-QES. Europium-154 and -155 for samples B0DDR1, B0DDX0, B0DDX7, B0DDY3, B0DDS4, B0DDM0, B0DF10, B0DF15 and B0DF27.

Europium-152 for samples B0DDQ3 and B0DDP3.

Europium-154 for sample B0DDQ3.

Europium-155 for sample B0DDY0.

Cobalt-60 for samples B0DF15 and B0DF27.

In accordance with validation procedures, qualification based on detection limits is not required.

4.6 SYSTEM PERFORMANCE AND OVERALL ASSESSMENT

System performance was assessed by a review of the raw data and no indications of poor performance were noted.

5.0 REFERENCES

- WHC 1993a, Data Validation Procedures for Chemical Analyses, WHC-SD-EN-SPP-002, Rev. 2, 1993. Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- WHC 1993b, Data Validation Procedures for Radiochemical Analyses, WHC-SD-EN-SPP-001, Rev. 1, 1993. Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- WHC 1994, Environmental and Waste Characterization Analytical Data Validation, Statement of Work, MSH-SWV-315905, August 10, 1994, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.

APPENDIX A METALS VALIDATED DATA SUMMARY TABLES

١,	
۲	_
ċ	3

	Samp# Date Location Depth Type Comments	BODDL3 1-11-95 1 WATER (UG TRIP BLAN	/L)	BODDM0 1-9-95 	1	B0DDN 1-10-9! S0	5 3 -	B0DDP. 1-10-9	5 4 -	80DDQ: 1-10-9: so	5 10 -	B0DDR 1-11-9 so	5 13 -
Parameter	Units	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	q
CHROMIUM	MG/KG	2.900	U	6.400		2.300		3.500		5.400		16.400	

	Samp# Date Location Depth Type Comments	BODDS4 1-11-95 14 SOIL	BODDXO 1-9-95 1 SOIL FIELD DUPLICATE	BODDX5 1-10-95 4 SOIL FIELD DUPLICATE	BODDX7 1-10-95 10 SOIL FIELD DUPLICATE	BODDYO 1-11-95 13 SOIL FIELD DUPLICATE	BODDY2 1-11-95 13 SOIL SPLIT
Parameter	Units	Result Q	Result Q	Result Q	Result Q	Result Q	Result Q
CHROMIUM	MG/KG	23.100	7.400	2.300	5.400	19.600	14.400

	В
Rev	20 IH
8	404

	Samp# Date Location Depth Type Comments	BODDY3 1-11-95 SOII FIELD DUPL	_
Parameter	Units	Result	Q
CHROMIUM	MG/KG	22,900	

APPENDIX B METALS VALIDATED FIELD QUALITY CONTROL SAMPLE SUMMARY

	Field Dup	licate, mg/kg				
Sample Number	B0DDX	0	B0DDM			
Date	1/9/95		1/9/95			
Location		1		1		
Comments		Duplicat	e			
Parameter CRD		RESULT	Q	RESULT	Q	RPD
Chromium 10		7.4		6.4	14.5	

	Field Dup	olicate, mg/kg				
Sample Number		B0DDX	7	B0DDQ		
Date	1/10/95	;	1/10/9			
Location		10		10		
Comments		Duplicat	e			
Parameter	CRDL	RESULT	Q	RESULT	Q	RPD
Chromium	10	5.4		5.4		0

	Field Dup	olicate, mg/kg				
Sample Number	B0DDY	0	B0DDF			
Date		1/11/95	5	1/11/9		
Location	13		13			
Comments	Comments					
Parameter	CRDL	RESULT	Q	RESULT	Q	RPD
Chromium	10	19.6		16.4		17.8

	Field S	plit, mg/kg				-
Sample Number		B0DDY	2	B0DDR	21	
Date		1/11/95	i	1/11/9		
Location		13		13		
Comments		Split				
Parameter	CRDL	RESULT	Q	RESULT	Q	RPD
Chromium	10	14.4		16.4		13

	Field Dup	licate, mg/kg				
Sample Number	B0DDY:	3	B0DDS			
Date	1/11/95	j .	1/11/9			
Location		14		14		
Comments		Duplicat	e			
Parameter	CRDL	RESULT	Q	RESULT	Q	RPD
Chromium	10	22.9		23.1		.9

	Field Duj	olicate, mg/kg				
Sample Number	B0DDX	5	B0DDI	23		
Date		1/10/95	5	1/10/9		
Location		4		4		
Comments		Duplicat	:e			
Parameter	CRDL	RESULT	Q	RESULT	Q	RPD
Chromium	10	2.3		3.5		41.4

APPENDIX C GENERAL CHEMISTRY VALIDATED DATA SUMMARY TABLES

	Samp# Date Location Depth Type Comments	BODDB6 1-10-95 8 Water	B0DDD8 1-10-95 16 WATER	BODDF8 1-10-95 12 Water	BODDG8 1-10-95 15 Water	B0DDH9 1-10-95 9 WATER
Parameter	Units	Result Q	Result Q	Result Q	Result Q	Result 0
TOTAL SUSPENDED SOLIDS	MG/L	14200.000	33.000	52.000	168.000	184.000

APPENDIX D RADIOCHEMISTRY VALIDATED DATA SUMMARY TABLES

	Samp# Date Location Depth Type Comments	B0DDX5 1-10-95 S0II FIELD DUPL	4 L	B0DDX7 1-10-95 S0II FIELD DUPL	10 L	BODDYO 1-11-95 SOII FIELD DUPL	13 L	80DDY2 1-11-95 SOI SPLI	13 L	BODDY3 1-11-95 SOII FIELD DUPL		BODF10 1-11-95 OTHE	5 5
Parameter	Units	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	a	Result	Q
GROSS ALPHA	pCi/G	6.080	j	5.430	J	8.780	j	15.200		14.500	j		
GROSS BETA	pCi/G	18.500		22.800		79.700		112.200		58.900			
COBALT-58	pCi/G	0.005	IJ	0.008	ម	0.348		0.120	IJ	0.042	U	0.045	U
COBALT-60	pCi/G	0.034	บ	0.032	บ	3.350		3.170		1.930		0.099	
CESIUM-137	pCi/G							67.400					
CESIUM-137DA	pCi/G	3.950		6.350		70.900				53.300		9.760	
EUROPIUM-152	pCi/G	0.289		0.823		42.200	1	45.200		17.900		1.840	
EUROP1UM-154	pCi/G	0.029	U	0.049	U	3.410		3.360		1.120		0.165	
EUROPIUM-155	pCi/G	0.042	U	0.037	U	-0.058	υ	0.080	U	0.075	U	-0.014	IJ
IRON-59	pCi/G	0.000	U	-0.099	U	-0.004	υ	0.030	U	0.109	U	-0.049	U
POTASSIUM-40	pCi/G	8.760		9.050		16.300				14.500		9.520	
LEAD-212	pCi/G		_					1.050					
PLUTONIUM-238	pCi/G	-0.002	IJ	0.000	U	0.009	ម	0.019	Ü	0.025	U		
PLUTONIUM-239/240	pCi/G	0.040		0.055	U	1.250		1.270		0.817			
RADIUM-224DA	pCi/G	0.419		0.360		1.010				1.230		0.466	
RADIUM-226	pCi/G							1.900	U				
RAD I UM-226DA	pCi/G	0.419		0.291								0.393	
RAD IUM-228DA	pCi/G	0.463		0.489									
STRONTIUM	pCi/G	0.788		0.891		3.880		1.930	J	4.760			
URANIUM-235	pCi/G							-0.570	U				

Rev. 00	BHII-00404
0	44

Type Comments	100	<u>.</u>	5011	4	1-10-95 SOII	10	1-11-95 SOII	13	1-11-95 sor	14	1-9-95 SOI FIELD DUPL	1 L
Units	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q
pCi/G	5.890	٦	8.330	J	9.500	J	9.340	J	17.800	J	3.900	UJ
					22.700		73.500		63.700			
pCi/G		ן ט		U	-0.032	U	0.179		0.106	U		U
pCi/G	0.005	U	0.013	U	0.053		3.110					_
pCi/G					6.410		66.300		50.200		10.800	
		- 1					39.300	ĺ	17.100		3.710	
				ប		U	3.030		1.130		0.308	
				- 1		U		υ	0.105	IJ	0.016	Ü
pCi/G		ן ט		u		ן ט		υj	-0.102	U	0.016	U
					3.620		15.000	- 1	13.000		7.690	
						1		u		ប	0.018	U
		ן יי		ប្រវ		บป	1.270					
								-	0.948		0.498	
		-		1				I				
		ļ		1				}	0.830		0.488	
		ļ		1				ł				
		1		Ì				Ì				
		pCi/G 5.890 pCi/G 16.200 pCi/G -0.002 pCi/G 0.005 pCi/G 0.524 pCi/G 0.524 pCi/G -0.013 pCi/G -0.078 pCi/G 0.044 pCi/G -0.038 pCi/G 0.044 pCi/G -0.038 pCi/G 0.044 pCi/G 0.052 pCi/G 0.052 pCi/G 0.052 pCi/G 0.015 pCi/G 0.725 pCi/G 0.646 pCi/G 0.863 pCi/G 0.712 pCi/G 0.712	pCi/G 5.890 J pCi/G 16.200 pCi/G -0.002 U pCi/G 0.005 U pCi/G 0.524 pCi/G -0.013 U pCi/G -0.078 U pCi/G -0.038 U pCi/G 0.044 U pCi/G -0.038 U pCi/G	pCi/G 5.890 J 8.330 pCi/G 16.200 24.000 pCi/G -0.002 U -0.009 pCi/G 0.005 U 0.013 pCi/G 0.524 4.020 pCi/G -0.013 U 0.247 pCi/G -0.078 U -0.023 pCi/G 0.044 U 0.021 pCi/G -0.038 U 0.078 pCi/G 14.000 9.840 9.840 pCi/G -0.009 U -0.009 pCi/G 0.015 U 0.085 pCi/G 0.725 0.531 0.531 pCi/G 0.646 0.352 0.540 pCi/G 0.863 0.540 0.540 pCi/G 0.712 0.993	pCi/G 5.890 J 8.330 J pCi/G 16.200 24.000 24.000 pCi/G -0.002 U -0.009 U pCi/G 0.005 U 0.013 U pCi/G 0.524 4.020 0.247 0.247 0.247 0.247 0.023 U 0.021 U 0.021 U 0.021 U 0.021 U 0.078 U 0.085 U 0.085 U 0.085 U 0.085 U 0.085 U 0.085 U 0.086 0.054 0.0540 0.0540 0.093	pCi/G 5.890 J 8.330 J 9.500 pCi/G 16.200 24.000 22.700 pCi/G -0.002 U -0.009 U -0.032 pCi/G 0.005 U 0.013 U 0.053 pCi/G 0.524 4.020 6.410 pCi/G -0.013 U 0.247 0.713 pCi/G -0.078 U -0.023 U 0.004 pCi/G 0.044 U 0.021 U -0.007 pCi/G -0.038 U 0.078 U -0.048 pCi/G 14.000 9.840 3.620 3.620 pCi/G -0.009 U -0.009 UJ -0.024 pCi/G 0.015 U 0.085 UJ 0.019 pCi/G 0.725 0.531 0.373 0.373 pCi/G 0.646 0.352 pCi/G 0.863 0.540 0.477	pCi/G 5.890 J 8.330 J 9.500 J pCi/G 16.200 24.000 22.700 pCi/G -0.002 U -0.009 U -0.032 U pCi/G 0.005 U 0.013 U 0.053 U 0.053 D 0.053 D 0.053 D 0.054 4.020 6.410 D 0.713 D 0.713 D 0.713 D 0.0713 D 0.004 U 0.0247 0.713 D 0.004 U 0.004 U 0.004 U 0.004 U 0.004 U 0.004 U 0.007 U 0.007 U 0.007 U 0.008 U 0.048 U 0.048 U 0.048 U 0.007 U 0.0048 U 0.004 U 0.007 U 0.004 U 0.008 U 0.004 U 0.004 U 0.004 U 0.004 U	pCi/G 5.890 J 8.330 J 9.500 J 9.340 pCi/G 16.200 24.000 22.700 73.500 pCi/G -0.002 U -0.009 U -0.032 U 0.179 pCi/G 0.005 U 0.013 U 0.053 3.110 pCi/G 0.524 4.020 6.410 66.300 pCi/G -0.013 U 0.247 0.713 39.300 pCi/G -0.078 U -0.023 U 0.004 U 3.030 pCi/G 0.044 U 0.021 U -0.007 U 0.221 pCi/G -0.038 U 0.078 U -0.048 U 0.120 pCi/G 14.000 9.840 3.620 15.000 15.000 pCi/G -0.009 U -0.009 UJ -0.024 UJ 0.038 pCi/G 0.015 U 0.085 UJ	pCi/G 5.890 J 8.330 J 9.500 J 9.340 J pCi/G 16.200 24.000 22.700 73.500 p 73.500 p 73.500 p 73.500 p 0.179 p 0.052 U 0.179 U 0.032 U 0.179 U 0.179 D 0.052 U 0.0179 U 0.053 3.110 D 0.053 3.110 D 0.054 U 0.053 3.110 D 0.054 U 0.054 U 0.053 3.110 D 0.054 U 0.054 U 0.054 U 0.054 U 0.071 0.713 39.300 D 0.064 0.071 0.004 U 3.030 D 0.004 U 0.004 U 0.007 U 0.0221 U 0.007 U 0.221 U 0.007 U 0.221 U 0.007 U 0.024 U 0.024	pCi/G 5.890 J 8.330 J 9.500 J 9.340 J 17.800 pCi/G 16.200 24.000 22.700 73.500 63.700 pCi/G -0.002 U -0.009 U -0.032 U 0.179 0.106 pCi/G 0.005 U 0.013 U 0.053 3.110 1.920 pCi/G 0.524 4.020 6.410 66.300 50.200 pCi/G -0.013 U 0.247 0.713 39.300 17.100 pCi/G -0.078 U -0.023 U 0.004 U 3.030 17.100 pCi/G -0.078 U -0.023 U -0.007 U 0.221 U 0.105 pCi/G -0.038 U 0.078 U -0.048 U 0.120 U -0.102 pCi/G -0.009 U -0.009 U -0.024 UJ 0.038 U	pCi/G 5.890 J 8.330 J 9.500 J 9.340 J 17.800 J pCi/G 16.200 24.000 22.700 73.500 63.700 64.60 64.60 64.410 66.300 50.200 64.60 64.410 66.300 50.200 64.710 66.300 63.730 17.100 67.710 60.710 <t< td=""><td> Units Result Q Result Result </td></t<>	Units Result Q Result Result

	Samp# Date Location Depth Type	B0DDD8 1-10-95 WATE	16		12	B0DDG8 1-10-95 15 WATER		BODDH9 1-10-95 9 Water			1-95 1-9-95 11		; 1
	Comments	#A(E)		WATE		WATE	× .	WAJE	•	TRIP BLA		SOI (pCi/G	_
Parameter	Units	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q
GROSS ALPHA	pCi/L											3.620	U
GROSS BETA	pCi/L											27.400	
COBALT-58	pCi/L	-2.930	U	1.650	U	-0.621	U	3.820	บ	1.880	U	0.026	
COBALT-60	pCi/L	-1.380	U	1.960	Ü	4.530	υ	5.060	U	0.806	υl	0.266	
CESIUM-137	pCi/G		1										
CESIUM-137DA	pCi/L	3.960	U	0.797	U	15.500		24.500		2.960	U	10.100	
EUROPIUM-152	pCi/L	-5.460	U	2.690	U	16.300	U	9.100	U	-1.860	U	3.100	
EUROP1UM-154	pCi/L	-4.470	υ	15.000	U	-0.462	U	-19.400	U	3.810	U	0.292	
EUROPIUM-155	pCi/L	8.560	U	2.420	U	5.790	U	-7.760	U	-2.500	U	0.074	
IRON-59	pCi/L	-9.780	IJ	1.610	U	-0.360	U	-5.37 0	U	-10.200	U	-0.006	
POTASSIUM-40	pCi/L						- 1					9.020	
LEAD-212	pCi/G												
PLUTONIUM-238	pCi/L								- 1			-0.009	
PLUTONIUM-239/240	pCi/L											0.156	
RADIUM-224DA	pCi/L		i									0.453	
RADIUM-226	pCi/G						ļ						
RAD IUM-226DA	pCi/L										- 1	0.482	
RADIUM-228DA	pCi/L											0.436	
STRONTIUM	pCi/L						1				i	1.670	
URANIUM-235	pCi/G		}						ļ				

	BF
ď	받
	8
3	$\vec{\mathbf{z}}$

	,				
	Samp#	BODF15		BODF27	
	Date	1-9-95		1-10-95	
	Location		6		7
	Depth				-
i	Type	OTHE	R	OTHE	₹ .
	Comments				
Parameter	Units	Result	0	Result	Q
t of differen	0	Nesut L		Result	
GROSS ALPHA	pCi/G				
GROSS BETA	pCi/G				
COBALT-58	pCi/G	0.003	ย	0.017	Ų
COBALT-60	pCi/G	0.079		0.056	
CESIUM-137	pCi/G				
CESIUM-137DA	pCi/G	6.850		7.780	
EUROPIUM-152	pCi/G	1.130		1.000	
EUROPIUM-154	pCi/G	0.120	IJ	0.163	
EUROPIUM-155	pCi/G	-0.030	υ	0.023	U
IRON-59	pCi/G	0.002	U	0.012	U
POTASSIUM-40	pCi/G	9.480	1	9.070	
LEAD-212	pCi/G				
PLUTONIUM-238	pCi/G				
PLUTONIUM-239/240	pCi/G				
RADIUM-224DA	pCi/G	0.454		0.654	
RADIUM-226	pCi/G		- 1		
RADIUM-226DA	pCi/G	0.463		0.451	
RADIUM-228DA	pCi/G			0.657	
STRONTIUM	pCi/G				
URANIUM-235	pCi/G				

APPENDIX E

RADIOCHEMISTRY VALIDATED FIELD QUALITY CONTROL SAMPLE SUMMARY

		Field Duplic	ate, pCi/C	i	**.	
Sample Number		B0DDX0		B0DDM0		
Date		1/9/95		1/9/95		
Location		1		1	<u></u>	
Comments		Duplicate				
Parameter	RDL	Result	Q	Result-1	Q-1	RPD
ALPHA	10	3.9	UJ	3.62	UJ	NC
BETA	15	27.8		27.4	<u> </u>	1.4
CO-58		-0.00036	U	0.0259	U	NC
CO-60	0.05	0.321		0.266		18.7
CS-137DA	0.1	10.8		10.1		6.7
EU-152	0.1	3.71		3.1		17.9
EU-154	0.1	0.308		0.292		5.3
EU-155	0.1	0.0161	U	0.0736	U	NC
FE-59		0.0161	U	-0.00575	U	NC
K-40		7.69		9.02		15.9
PU-238	1	0.0176	U	-0.00891	U	NC
PU-239/40	1	0.281	.=	0.156		57.2
RA-224DA		0.498		0.453		9.5
RA-226DA	0.1	0.488		0.482		1.2
RA-228DA	0.2	NR		0.436		NC
STRONTIUM	1	1.14		1.67		37.7

		Field Dupli	cate, pCi/.	L		
Sample Number		B0DDX7		B0DDQ3		
Date		1/10/9	1/10/95		5	
Location		10		10		
Comments		Duplicate				
Parameter	RDL	Result	Q	Result	Q	RPD
ALPHA	10	5.43	J	9.5	J	54.5
ВЕТА	15	22.8		22.7		.4
CO-58		0.0079	U	-0.0317	U	NC
CO-60	0.05	0.0325	U	0.0529		200
CS-137DA	0.1	6.35		6.41		.9
EU-152	0.1	0.823		0.713		14.3
EU-154	0.1	0.049	U	0.00414	U	NC
EU-155	0.1	0.037	U	-0.00725	U	NC
FE-59		-0.0987	U	-0.0478	U	NC
K-40		9.05		3.62		85.7
PU-238	1	0	U	-0.024	UJ	NC
PU-239/40	1	0.0546	U	0.0192	UJ	NC
RA-224DA		0.36		0.373		3.5
RA-226DA	0.1	0.291		NR		NC
RA-228DA	0.2	0.489		0.477		2.5
STRONTIUM	1	0.891		0.83		7.1

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
		Field Duplicat	te, pCi/L			
Sample Number		B0DDY0		B0DD	B0DDR1	
Date		1/11/95	5	1/11/9)5	•
Location	•	13		13	13	
Comments		Duplicate				
Parameter	RDL	Result	Q	Result	Q	RPD
ALPHA	10	8.78	J	9.34	J	6.1
BETA	15	79.7		73.5		8.1
CO-58		0.348		0.179		64.1
CO-60	0.05	3.35		3.11		7.4
CS-137DA	0.1	70.9		66.3		6.7
EU-152	0.1	42.2		39.3		7.1
EU-154	0.1	3.41		3.03		11.8
EU-155	0.1	-0.0576	U	0.221	บ	NC
FE-59		-0.00352	U	0.12	U	NC
K-40		16.3		15		8.3
PU-238	1	0.00897	U	0.0376	U	NC
PU-239/40	1	1.25		1.27		1.6
RA-224DA		1.01		NR		NC
STRONTIUM	1	3.88		4.3		10.3

		Field Spli	t, pCi/L			
Sample Number		B0DDY2		B0DD	B0DDR1	
Date		1/11/	95	1/11/9	95	
Location		13		13	13	
Comments		Split			_	
Parameter	RDL	Result	Q	Result	Q	RPD
ALPHA	10	15.2		9.34	J	47.8
BETA	15	112.2		73.5		41.7
CO-58		0.12	U	0.179		200
CO-60	0.05	3.17		3.11		1.9
EU-152	0.1	45.2		39.3	<u>.</u>	14.0
EU-154	0.1	3.36		3.03		10.3
EU-155	0.1	0.08	υ	0.221	U	NC
FE-59		0.03	U	0.12	U	NC
K-40		NR		15		NC
PB-212		1.05		NR		NC
PU-238	1	0.019	U	0.0376	U	NC
PU-239/40	1	1.27		1.27		0
RA-226	0.1	1.9	U	NR		NC
STRONTIUM	1	1.93	J	4.3		76.1
U-235		-0.57	U	NR.		NC

		Field Duplic	ate, pCi/	<u>L</u>		
Sample Number		B0DDY3		B0DD:	B0DDS4	
Date		1/11/9	5	1/11/9	5	<u> </u>
Location		14		14	14	
Comments		Duplicate				
Parameter	RDL	Result	Q	Result	Q	RPD
ALPHA	10	14.5]	17.8	J	20.4
BETA	15	58.9		63.7		7.8
CO-58		0.0422	U	0.106	U	NC
CO-60	0.05	1.93		1.92		.5
CS-137DA	0.1	53.3		50.2		6.0
EU-152	0.1	17.9		17.1		4.6
EU-154	0.1	1.12		1.13		.9
EU-155	0.1	0.0746	U	0.105	U	NC
FE-59		0.109	U	-0.102	U	NC
K-40		14.5		13		10.9
PU-238	1	0.025	Ŭ	-0.0073	U	NC
PU-239/40	1	0.817		0.572		35.3
RA-224DA		1.23		0.948		25.9
RA-226DA	0.1	NR		0.83		NC
STRONTIUM	1	4.76		3.28		36.8

		Field Duplic	cate, pCi/	L		
Sample Number		B0DDX5		B0DDP3		
Date		1/10/95		1/10/95		·
Location		4	4		•	
Comments		Duplicate				
Parameter	RDL	Result	Q	Result	Q	RPD
ALPHA	10	6.08	J	8.33	J	31.2
BETA	15	18.5		24		25.9
CO-58		0.00451	Ü	-0.00887	U	NC
CO-60	0.05	0.0339	U	0.013	U	NC
CS-137DA	0.1	3.95		4.02		1.8
EU-152	0.1	0.289		0.247		15.7
EU-154	0.1	0.0289	U	-0.0235	Ū	NC
EU-155	0.1	0.0422	U	0.0213	ט	NC
FE-59		0	Ū	0.0782	บ	NC
K-40		8.76		9.84		11.6
PU-238	1	-0.00202	U	-0.00942	UJ	NC
PU-239/40	1	0.0403		0.0847	UJ	200
RA-224DA		0.419		0.531		23.6
RA-226DA	0.1	0.419		0.352		17.4
RA-228DA	0.2	0.463		0.54		15.4
STRONTIUM	1	0.788		0.993		23.0